

## References

S-196

1. Ghafoori M, Velayati M, Ghasabe M, et al. Prostate biopsy using transrectal ultrasonography; The optimal number of cores regarding cancer detection rate and complications. *Iran J Radiol.* 2015;12(2).
2. Isbarn H, Briganti A, De Visschere P, et al. Systematic ultrasound-guided saturation and template biopsy of the prostate: indications and advantages of extended sampling. *Arch Esp Urol.* 2015;68(3):296-306.
3. Yazici S. Predictors of prostate cancer in ultrasound-guided transperineal saturation biopsy in Turkish men with multiple prior negative biopsies. *Urologia.* 2016;83(2):71-76.
4. American College of Radiology (ACR). ACR Appropriateness Criteria® prostate cancer—pretreatment detection, surveillance, and staging. 2016.
5. Martorana E, Micali S, et al. Advantages of single puncture transperineal biopsy of prostate: Analysis of outcome in 125 patients using our scheme. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2015;47:735-741.
6. Kravchick S, Lobik L, et al. Patients with persistently elevated PSA and negative results of TRUS biopsy: Does 6-month treatment with dutasteride indicate candidates for re-biopsy: What is the best of saturation schemes: Transrectal or transperineal approach? *Pathol Oncol Res.* 2015;21:985-989.
7. Pepe P, Garufi A, Giandomenico, P, Pennisi, M. Can MRI/TRUS fusion targeted biopsy replace saturation prostate biopsy in the re-evaluation of men in active surveillance? *World J Urol.* 2016;34:1249-1253.
8. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Technology Assessment. *MRI-TRUS Fusion-guided biopsy for the diagnosis of prostate cancer.* Lansdale, PA; Hayes, Inc.; 12/2015.
9. Mai, Z Xiao Y, Yan W, et al. Comparison of lesions detected and undetected by template-guided transperineal saturation prostate biopsy. *BJU Int.* 2018;121:415-420.
10. Zhang F, Shao Q, Du Y, Tian Y. Evaluation of 24-core coaxial needle saturation biopsy of the prostate by the transperineal approach in detecting prostate cancer in patients without previous biopsy history: A single center reports. *J Can Res Ther.* 2019;15:380-5.
11. Xue J, Qin Z, Cai H, et al. Comparison between transrectal and transperineal prostate biopsy for detection of prostate cancer: A meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8(14):23322-23336.
12. Quintana L, Ward A, Gerrin SJ, et al. Gleason Misclassification rate is independent of number of biopsy cores in systematic biopsy. *Urology.* 2016;91:143-149.
13. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). Final Recommendation Statement: Prostate Cancer: Screening. 2018. Accessed August 24, 2020.
14. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Evidence Analysis Research Brief. Transperineal template biopsy for detection of prostate cancer in patients with previous negative transrectal biopsy. Lansdale, PA; Hayes, Inc.; 10/31/2019.
15. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Prostate Cancer. v.2.2019.
16. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Prostate Cancer. v.2.2020.
17. Nakai Y, Tanaka N, Anai S, et al. Transperineal template-guided saturation biopsy aimed at sampling one core for each millimeter of prostate volume: 103 Cases requiring repeat prostate biopsy. *BMC Urol.* 2017;17(28).