

## References

X-21

1. Destounis S, Arieno, Morgan R. Initial experience with combination digital breast tomosynthesis plus full field digital mammography or full field digital mammography alone in the screening environment. *Journal of Clinical Imaging Science*. 2014;4(1):1-6.
2. Friedewald SM, Rafferty EA, Rose SL, et al. Breast cancer screening using tomosynthesis in combination with digital mammography. *The Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2014; 311(24):2499-2507.
3. Greenberg JS, Javitt MC, Katzen J, Michael S, Holland AE. Clinical performance metrics of 3D digital breast tomosynthesis compared with 2D digital mammography for breast cancer screening in community practice. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2014; 203(1):1-7.
4. Patyky L, Lourenco AP, Mainiero MB. Detection of mammographically occult architectural distortion on digital breast tomosynthesis screening: Initial clinical experience. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2014;203(1):2016-222.
5. American College of Radiology. Position statements: ACR Statement on Breast Tomosynthesis, 2014.
6. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: breast cancer screening; date of origin. 2015.
7. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis, Version 1.2015. Updated July 7, 2015.
8. Tagliafico A, Mariscotti G, Durando M, et al. Characterisation of microcalcification clusters on 2D digital mammography (FFDM) and digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT): does DBT underestimate microcalcification clusters? Results of a multicentre study. *European Radiology*. Jan 2015; 25(1):9-14.
9. Sumkin J, Ganott M, Chough D, et al. Recall Rate Reduction with Tomosynthesis During Baseline Screening Examinations: An Assessment From a Prospective Trial. *Academic Radiology*. 2015;22(12):1477-1482.
10. Lauby-Secretan B, Scoccianti C, Loomis D, et al. Breast-cancer screening--viewpoint of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Working Group. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2015; 372(24):2353-2358.
11. Viale P. The American Cancer Society Guidelines on Screening for Breast Cancer: What's New? *Journal of Advanced Practitioner in Oncology*. 2015; 6:508–510.
12. Hayes, Inc. Hayes Medical Technology Directory Report. Full-Field Digital Mammography for Breast Cancer Screening. Landsdale, PA: Hayes , Inc. Dec 17, 2015.
13. Simon S. American Cancer Society releases new breast cancer guideline. American Cancer Society. 2016.
14. Lång K, Nergården M, Andersson I, Rosso A, Zackrisson S. False positives in breast cancer screening with one-view breast tomosynthesis: An analysis of findings leading to recall, work-up and biopsy rates in the Malmö Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial. *European Radiology*. 2016;26(11):3899-3907.

15. Hayes Inc. Medical Technology Directory. Digital Breast Tomosynthesis for Breast Cancer Diagnosis and Screening. October 24, 2017. Accessed April 30, 2018.
16. Narayan A, Elkin E, Lehman C, Morris E. Quantifying performance thresholds for recommending screening mammography: a revealed preference analysis of USPSTF guidelines. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*. 2018;172:463–468.
17. He X, Schifferdecker K, Ozanne E, Tosteson A, Woloshin S and Schwartz L. How Do Women View Risk-Based Mammography Screening? A Qualitative Study. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2018;33(11):1905–12.
18. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Practice Advisory on Breast Cancer Screening. Practice Bulletin Number 122. 2017. Reaffirmed 2019.